The chemistry of salts containing $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}\right)_{n}$ aggregates is close to clathrate chemistry and appears very attractive. Indeed, the controlled formation of $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}\right)_{n}$ or $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4} \cdot S\right)_{n}^{n-}$ aggregates using the previous chemical reactions (1), (2), (3) and (4) is an important step in the crystal engineering of acentric materials having a layer structure for non-linear optical applications.
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# Absolute Configuration of Two Bases Co-crystallized with ( + )-Tartaric Acid: (R)-1-[3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-hydroxy-3-(2-pyridyl)propyl]pyrrolidinium ( + )-Tartrate 2-Propanol Hemihydrate and (S)-2-[1-Hydroxy-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethyl]pyridinium ( + )-Tartrate 
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#### Abstract

C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{+} . \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}^{-} . \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O} .{ }_{2}^{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\), $M_{r}=536.08$, monoclinic, $P 2_{1}, a=13.738$ (3), $b=$ 15.037 (5), $\quad c=7.012$ (2) $\AA, \quad \beta=103.26$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}, \quad V=$ 1409.9 (5) $\AA^{3}, Z=2, D_{x}=1.260 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, \lambda($ Mo $K \alpha)$ $=0.71069 \AA, \mu=0.181 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, \quad F(000)=570, \quad T=$ $295 \mathrm{~K}, R=0.041, w R=0.047$ for 1606 reflections [ $I$ $>3 \sigma(I)]$. (2) $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{+} . \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}^{-}, \quad M_{r}=379 \cdot 37$, monoclinic, $P 2_{1}, a=16.036$ (2), $b=7.839$ (2), $c=$ 7.548 (1) $\AA, \beta=102.97$ (1) ${ }^{\circ}, V=924.6(5) \AA^{3}, Z=2$, $D_{x}=1.367 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, \quad \lambda($ Мо $K \alpha)=0.71069 \AA, \quad \mu=$ $0 \cdot 101 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, \quad F(000)=400, \quad T=295 \mathrm{~K}, \quad R=0.046$, $w R=0.055$ for 1613 reflections $[I>3 \sigma(I)]$. The absolute configurations of the bases in (1) and (2) were determined by referring to the known absolute configuration of the co-crystallized ( + )-tartrate anion. In both structures the carboxyl groups of the ( + )tartrate anions are anti. In both, the molecular packing is dominated by hydrogen bonds including a bifurcated system from the protonated N atoms.


Introduction. Some $\alpha$-substituted pyridine derivatives exhibit important pharmacological activities and a

[^0]0108-2701/91/081645-05\$03.00
few are used in human medicine. A series of such compounds in enantiomerically pure form has been synthesized (Berova, 1987; Bojadziev, Kojić-Prodić \& Berova, 1987). For some of them the absolute configuration could not be determined by chemical correlation. The absolute configuration of two selected derivatives, reported in this paper, and their CD measurements were used to determine the chirooptical properties of nine related compounds (Berova, Bojadziev, Bresciani-Pahor, Ivanov, KojićProdić, Rakovska, Ružićć-Toroš \& Snatzke, 1991).

Experimental. Both samples were crystallized from 2-propanol at ambient temperature. The crystals of (1) were found to include one molecule of solvent and half a molecule of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Preliminary cell dimensions and space groups were determined from oscillation and Weissenberg photographs with $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation; final cell dimensions were refined from diffractometer measurements using 25 reflections with $9<\theta<15^{\circ}$ for (1) and 20 reflections with $10<\theta<16^{\circ}$ for (2). Intensities were measured on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4F diffractometer in the $\omega / 2 \theta$ scan mode, $1+0.35 \tan \theta$, with graphite-mono-
© 1991 International Union of Crystallography
chromated Mo $K \alpha$ radiation. $2 \theta_{\text {max }}=54^{\circ}, h 0 \rightarrow 12$, $k 0 \rightarrow 18, l-8 \rightarrow 8$ for (I) and $h 0 \rightarrow 17, k 0 \rightarrow 10, l-9$ $\rightarrow 9$ for (2). 1606 independent reflections for (1) and 1613 for (2) having $I>3 \sigma(I)$ were recorded in the range $2.5<\theta<27^{\circ}$. Crystal dimensions were $0.2 \times$ $0.3 \times 0.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ for (1) and $0.3 \times 0.4 \times 0.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ for (2). Lorentz-polarization corrections were applied. The structures were solved by direct methods, MULTAN80 (Main, Fiske, Hull, Lessinger, Germain, Declercq \& Woolfson, 1980). The H atoms of the phenyl, pyridyl, and pyrrolidinyl moieties were placed at the stereochemically expected positions. Subsequent difference Fourier syntheses located the remaining H atoms. Full-matrix least-squares refinement minimized $\sum w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}$ with $w^{-1}=$ $\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}\right)+\left(0.02 F_{o}\right)^{2}+1$ (Killean \& Lawrence, 1969). Scale factor, atomic coordinates, and anisotropic thermal parameters were refined for non-H atoms in both structures, except that the water oxygen in (1) was treated as isotropic and of site occupancy 0.5 . The H atoms were included in structure factor calculations. The residual electron density observed was $-0.3<\Delta \rho<0.2 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} . \Delta / \sigma_{\text {max }} 0.29$ for (1) and 0.15 for (2). Final $R=0.047, w R=0.050$ for 1606 observed reflections for (1) and $R=0.046, w R=$ 0.055 for 1613 observed reflections for (2). Scattering factors were according to International Tables for $X$-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV), with corrections for anomalous dispersion. Table 1 lists the final atomic parameters for (1) and (2).* Calculations were carried out on PDP 11-44 with programs from SDP (B. A. Frenz \& Associates Inc., 1985) at Università di Trieste and on an IBM 4341 with routines from Nardelli (1983) at the University Computing Centrẹ in Zagreb.

Discussion. Interatomic distances, bond angles and selected torsion angles of both structures [(1), (2)] are listed in Table 2. Figs. $1(a)$ and $1(b)$ show the base in (1) and (2) respectively. The absolute configurations of the bases in both structures were determined by referring to the known absolute configuration of the co-crystallized ( + )-tartrate anion; in both structures the chiral centre is C 1 with an $R$ configuration in (1) and $S$ in (2). The ( + )-tartrate anions of (1) and (2) with the atom numbering are given in Fig. 2. Molecular packing via hydrogen bonds is shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b).

The location of protons in difference Fourier maps indicated that in (1) there is a pyrrolidinium cation and in (2) a pyridinium cation; tartaric acid must

[^1]Table 1. Positional parameters and isotropic thermal parameters with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{\mathrm{eq}}=(4 / 3)\left[a^{2} B(1,1)+b^{2} B(2,2)+c^{2} B(3,3)+a b(\cos \gamma) B(1,2)\right. \\
+a c(\cos \beta) B(1,3)+b c(\cos \alpha) B(2,3)]
\end{gathered}
$$

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{\text {eq }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) |  |  |  |  |
| Cl | 0.7178 (1) | -0.243 | 0.0815 (3) | $6 \cdot 21$ (4) |
| Ol | 0.7902 (3) | 0.6749 (3) | 0.0241 (6) | $5 \cdot 2$ (1) |
| C1 | 0.8211 (4) | -0.6325 (4) | 0.2112 (8) | 4.3 (1) |
| C2 | 0.7686 (4) | -0.6756 (4) | 0.3573 (8) | 4.6 (1) |
| C3 | 0.6554 (4) | -0.6742 (4) | 0.2878 (8) | 4.3 (1) |
| C1A | 0.7951 (4) | -0.5339 (3) | 0.1779 (8) | $3 \cdot 8$ (1) |
| C2A | 0.7628 (4) | -0.4999 (4) | -0.0074 (8) | 4.8 (1) |
| C3A | 0.7396 (4) | -0.4098 (4) | -0.0378 (9) | 4.9 (1) |
| C4A | 0.7493 (4) | -0.3562 (2) | 0.1204 (9) | 4.4 (1) |
| C5A | 0.7819 (4) | -0.3867 (4) | 0.3039 (9) | 5.0 (1) |
| C6A | 0.8049 (5) | -0.4759 (4) | 0.3339 (9) | $5 \cdot 0$ (1) |
| N1 $B$ | 0.9697 (4) | -0.6422 (4) | 0.4757 (9) | $6 \cdot 8$ (2) |
| C2B | 0.9344 (4) | -0.6413 (4) | 0.2843 (9) | 4.9 (1) |
| C3B | 0.9957 (5) | -0.6449 (5) | 0.153 (1) | $6 \cdot 8$ (2) |
| C4B | 1.0980 (5) | -0.6519 (5) | 0.233 (1) | 8.2 (2) |
| C5B | 1.1345 (5) | -0.6553 (5) | 0.429 (1) | 8.0 (2) |
| C6B | 1.0681 (5) | -0.6485 (6) | 0.544 (1) | 8.2 (2) |
| N1C | 0.6069 (3) | -0.7284 (3) | 0.4182 (6) | 4.0 (1) |
| C2C | 0.6139 (6) | -0.6913 (4) | 0.620 (1) | 6.5 (2) |
| C3C | 0.5329 (5) | -0.7410 (6) | 0.6902 (9) | 7.1 (2) |
| C4C | 0.4555 (5) | -0.7624 (7) | 0.510 (1) | 9.4 (3) |
| C5C | 0.4974 (4) | -0.7429 (5) | 0.3394 (9) | $5 \cdot 3$ (1) |
| C7 | 0.5823 (4) | -0.0170 (4) | 0.9530 (7) | $4 \cdot 2$ (1) |
| 071 | 0.5082 (3) | 0.0080 (4) | 0.8377 (6) | 7.1 (1) |
| 072 | 0.6634 (3) | -0.0467 (3) | 0.9132 (5) | 4.80 (9) |
| C8 | 0.5851 (4) | -0.0158 (4) | 1.1691 (7) | 4.0 (1) |
| 08 | 0.4964 (3) | 0.0200 (4) | $1 \cdot 1973$ (6) | 6.9 (1) |
| C9 | 0.6753 (4) | 0.0369 (4) | 1.2805 (6) | $3 \cdot 3$ (1) |
| 09 | 0.6745 (3) | 0.1239 (2) | 1.2047 (5) | 4.15 (8) |
| C10 | 0.6778 (4) | 0.0393 (4) | 1.4974 (7) | $3 \cdot 6$ (1) |
| 0101 | 0.6883 (3) | 0.1103 (2) | 1.5880 (5) | $4 \cdot 35$ (9) |
| 0102 | 0.6712 (3) | -0.0370 (3) | 1.5713 (5) | $5 \cdot 4$ (1) |
| C11 | 0.8920 (5) | -0.9117 (5) | -0.027 (1) | 6.2 (2) |
| O11* | 0.8040 (3) | -0.8590 (2) | -0.0417 (5) | 4.07 (8) |
| C12* | 0.9483 (6) | -0.8848(8) | -0.169 (1) | 10.0 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C13*}$ | 0.9501 (6) | -0.9062 (6) | 0.181 (1) | 9.9 (3) |
| 033 $\dagger$ | 0.3855 (6) | 0.0317 (7) | 1.467 (1) | 6.4 (2)* |
| (2) |  |  |  |  |
| C 1 | 0.6775 (2) | 0.715 | 0.0523 (5) | $3 \cdot 34$ (8) |
| O 1 | 0.7133 (2) | 0.5487 (4) | 0.0885 (4) | $3 \cdot 70$ (6) |
| C2 | 0.6595 (3) | 0.7536 (8) | -0.1493 (6) | $4 \cdot 9$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{C1} A$ | 0.5982 (2) | 0.7169 (5) | 0.1333 (5) | $2 \cdot 83$ (7) |
| C2A | 0.5991 (2) | 0.6282 (6) | 0.2912 (5) | $3 \cdot 54$ (8) |
| C3A | 0.5287 (2) | 0.6273 (7) | 0.3703 (5) | $3 \cdot 59$ (9) |
| C4A | 0.4562 (2) | 0.7185 (6) | 0.2877 (5) | 3.46 (8) |
| O4A | 0.3828 (2) | 0.7268 (6) | 0.3524 (4) | $5 \cdot 31$ (8) |
| C5A | 0.4545 (2) | 0.8072 (6) | 0.1295 (6) | 3.93 (9) |
| C6A | 0.5247 (2) | 0.8070 (6) | 0.0530 (6) | 3.59 (9) |
| C7A | 0.3822 (3) | 0.648 (1) | 0.5202 (7) | 6.5 (1) |
| N1B | 0.8062 (3) | 0.7855 (6) | 0.2768 (8) | 8.0 (1) |
| C2B | 0.7412 (2) | 0.8469 (6) | 0.1572 (5) | $3 \cdot 19$ (8) |
| C3B | 0.7365 (3) | 1.0187 (7) | 0.1395 (6) | 4.8 (1) |
| C4B | 0.7983 (4) | 1.1217 (7) | 0.2453 (6) | 5.7 (1) |
| C5B | 0.8620 (3) | 1.0530 (8) | 0.3675 (8) | 6.6 (1) |
| C6B | 0.8669 (3) | 0.8834 (8) | 0.380 (1) | 10.4 (2) |
| C7 | 0.8645 (2) | 0.4172 (5) | 0.6383 (4) | 2.79 (7) |
| 071 | 0.7926 (2) | 0.4411 (5) | 0.5479 (3) | 4.64 (7) |
| 072 | 0.9340 (2) | $0 \cdot 4312$ (5) | 0.5833 (3) | $3 \cdot 51$ (6) |
| C8 | 0.8782 (2) | 0.3672 (5) | 0.8369 (4) | $2 \cdot 80$ (7) |
| 08 | 0.7981 (2) | $0 \cdot 3424$ (4) | 0.8835 (3) | 3.69 (6) |
| C9 | 0.9285 (2) | 0.5068 (5) | 0.9566 (4) | $2 \cdot 39$ (6) |
| 09 | 0.8803 (2) | 0.6590 (4) | 0.9315 (3) | 3.09 (5) |
| C10 | 0.9515 (2) | 0.4503 (5) | 1.1550 (4) | $2 \cdot 48$ (6) |
| 0101 | 1.0086 (2) | 0.3472 (5) | 1.2034 (4) | 4.83 (7) |
| 0102 | $0 \cdot 9080$ (2) | 0.5141 (4) | $1 \cdot 2591$ (3) | $3 \cdot 41$ (5) |
|  | * Atoms of solvent molecule 2-propanol. <br> $\dagger$ Oxygen atom of water molecule, site occupancy 0.5 . |  |  |  |

therefore be present in each as the monoanion. Differences in bond distances and angles of chemically analogous moieties of both structures are below the limit of $4 \sigma$. The C8-O8 value of 1.387 (7) $\AA$ in the hydroxylic group of the $(+)$-tartrate anion (1)

Table 2. Bond distances $(\AA)$, bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) and selected torsional angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$


Table 2 (cont.)

|  |  |  |  | (1) | (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O 1 | Cl | C2B | N1B | $-151.5(5)$ | -10.5 (5) |
| C2 | Cl | C2B | N1B | -30.9 (7) | -131.6(4) |
| C1A | Cl | C2B | N1B | 92.7 (6) | 103.4 (4) |
| Cl | C2 | C3 | N1C | 171.5 (5) |  |
| C3A | C4A | O4A | C7A |  | $4 \cdot 5$ (6) |
| C5C | N1C | C2C | C3C | $36 \cdot 3$ (6) |  |
| C6C | N1C | C2C | C3C | 162.1 (5) |  |
| C2C | N1C | C 5 C | C4C | -30.1 (6) |  |
| C3 | N1C | C5C | C4C | $-15 \% 1$ (5) |  |
| C2C | N1C | C3 | C2 | 69.5 (6) |  |
| C5C | N1C | C3 | C2 | - 169.9 (5) |  |
| N1C | C2C | C3C | C4C | -29.1 (7) |  |
| C2C | C3C | C4C | C5C | 10.9 (8) |  |
| C3C | C4C | C5C | N1C | 11.9 (7) |  |
| O71 | C7 | C8 | O8 | -2.5 (8) | $4 \cdot 8$ (5) |
| 071 | C7 | C8 | C9 | - $125 \cdot 1$ (6) | -116.2 (4) |
| C7 | C8 | C9 | O9 | 56.1 (6) | $62 \cdot 2$ (5) |
| C7 | C8 | C9 | Cl0 | 179.3 (5) | -173.7 (3) |
| O8 | C8 | C9 | O9 | -65.3 (6) | -58.9 (4) |
| 08 | C8 | C8 | Cl 0 | 58.0 (6) | $65 \cdot 2$ (4) |
| C8 | C9 | C10 | $\mathrm{Ol01}$ | - 130.0 (5) | $75 \cdot 3$ (4) |

Table 3. Hydrogen bonds ( $\AA$ ) for (1) and (2)

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | Symmetry operation on $A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ol}-\mathrm{HOl} \cdots \mathrm{Oll}$ | 2.820 (6) | $x, y, z$ |
| O9- $\mathrm{HO9} \cdots \mathrm{Oll}$ | 2.762 (5) | $x, y+1, z+1$ |
| O72-HO72 ${ }^{\text {O }} \mathrm{Ol} 102$ | 2.429 (5) | $x, y, z-1$ |
| O11-HO11…O101 | 2.755 (5) | $x, y+1, z+2$ |
| *O8-H08 $\cdots$ O33 | 2.692 (11) | $x, y, z$ |
| *O33-H2O33 $\cdots$ O71 | 2.779 (10) | $x, y, z-1$ |
| NIC-HNIC ${ }^{\text {O9 }}$ | 2.945 (6) | $x, y-1, z-1$ |
| NIC-HNIC…OI01 | 2.818 (6) | $x, y-1, z-1$ |
| (2) |  |  |
| O72-HO72 $\cdots$ O102 | 2.475 (3) | $x, y, z-1$ |
| $\mathrm{OI}-\mathrm{HOl} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ | 2.794 (4) | $x, y, z-1$ |
| O9-H09 ${ }^{\text {O }} \mathrm{Ol} 101$ | 2.684 (5) | $-x+2, y+\frac{1}{2},-z+2$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 18-\mathrm{HN} 18 \cdots \mathrm{O} 102$ | 2.703 (6) | $x, y, z-1$ |
| O8-H08 $\cdots$ O4A | 3.177 (4) | $x+1, y, z+1$ |
| O8-H08 ${ }^{\text {O }}$ O71 | 2.631 (3) | $x, y, z$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 18-\mathrm{HN} 18 \cdots \mathrm{O} 9$ | $3 \cdot 253$ (6) | $x, y, z-2$ |

deviates considerably from that of a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ single bond. It might be explained by somewhat higher temperature movement of O 8 and its function in the system of hydrogen bonds. The O8 hydroxyl group acts as the proton donor to the water molecule with the population parameter being 0.5 . The O8-HO8 was normalized to a value of $0.983 \AA$ using the program GSTAT89 (Motherwell, Murray-Rust, Raferty, Allen \& Doyle, 1989).

In the syntheses of suitable salts of bases (1) and (2) the ( + )-tartaric acid was used as an internal standard for determination of the absolute configuration at Cl of these two bases. During the structure determination the $(+)$-tartrate anions were selected and according to this the sense of chirality at Cl was assigned. In both structures the carboxyl groups of the tartrate anions are anti to each other; the torsion angles $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10$ are $179.3(5)^{\circ}$ in (1) and -173.7 (3) ${ }^{\circ}$ in (2). This finding is in accord with the literature (Kroon, 1982). The $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bonds labelled as 071 (in both structures) are in an eclipsed position to

(a)

(b)

Fig. 1. The ORTEP (Johnson, 1970) drawing of the base (a) in structure (1) and (b) in structure (2) with the atom-numbering scheme. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.


Fig. 2. The ORTEP (Johnson, 1970) drawing of (+)-tartrate anions in (1) and (2), and solvent molecule 2-propanol in (1) with the atom-numbering scheme.
the $\alpha$-hydroxyl O atoms (O8) [(O8-C8-C7-O71
-2.5 (8) (1); 4.8 (5) $\left.{ }^{\circ}(2)\right]$.
Hydrogen bonds. In both structures the molecular packing is dominated by very extensive hydrogen bonds [Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) and Table 3]. The system of hydrogen bonds is even more complex in structure
(1) due to the presence of a solvent molecule (2propanol) and a water molecule (occupancy $=0 \cdot 50$ ). In both structures there are bifurcated hydrogen bonds joining the protonated N atoms of the base moieties to the $(+)$-tartrate anions.


Fig. 3. A view of (a) the structure of (1) and (b) the structure of (2) along $\mathbf{b}$ illustrating the hydrogen bonds.
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#### Abstract

C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}^{+} . \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{-}, M_{r}=163 \cdot 17\), triclinic, $P \overline{1}, a=8.293(1), b=9.342$ (6) $, c=10.934(2) \AA, \alpha$ $=88.94$ (3) $, \quad \beta=89.39(1), \quad \gamma=84.46(2)^{\circ}, \quad V=$ 842.9 (8) $\AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{x}=1.286 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \lambda($ Мo $K \bar{\alpha})=$ $0.71073 \AA, \quad \mu=1.008 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \quad F(000)=352, \quad T=$ $110 \mathrm{~K}, R=0.052$ for 1885 observed reflections. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}^{+} . \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{-}, M_{r}=191 \cdot 23$, orthorhombic, Pban, $a=12.661$ (2),$b=10 \cdot 125$ (1), $c=8.031$ (1) $\AA$, $V=1029.5(5) \AA^{3}, \quad Z=4, \quad D_{x}=1.234 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, $\lambda($ Mo $K \bar{\alpha})=0.71073 \AA, \quad \mu=0.918 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \quad F(000)=$ $416, T=110 \mathrm{~K}, R=0.040$ for 2007 observed reflections. In both compounds the hydrogen succinate ions are linked by very short hydrogen bonds resulting in infinite chains. In ethylammonium hydrogen succinate the chains are formed by two distinct succinate entities which alternate in the structure. They are connected by two short hydrogen bonds, both asymmetric. One hydrogen bond with length $2 \cdot 483$ (4) $\AA$ is between a carboxylic acid group and a carboxylate group, while the other short hydrogen bond with length 2.456 (4) $\AA$ is between two half ionized, but not identical, COO groups. Diethylammonium hydrogen succinate contains a crystallographically symmetric short hydrogen bond with length 2.4370 (7) $\AA$. The H atom in the short hydrogen bond seems to be best described by two equally populated sites on each side of the center of the


bond. The chains of anions are interconnected by longer hydrogen bonds to the cations in both compounds.

Introduction. The crystal structure determinations of ethylammonium hydrogen succinate (1) and diethylammonium hydrogen succinate (2) have been performed as a continuation of earlier studies of acid salts of malonic acid and succinic acid with special interest in the hydrogen bonding (Kalsbeek \& Larsen, 1991). Acid salts of carboxylic acids and dicarboxylic acids have been extensively investigated structurally (Speakman, 1972) and spectroscopically (Hadži, 1965).

One of the main subjects of the research work is to understand the nature of the very short crystallographically symmetric hydrogen bonds linking the anions in infinite chains. Is the H atom effectively centered or is there a dynamical or statistical disorder? The first situation indicates a single minimum potential function for the $H$ atom, the second $a$ double minimum potential function.

Experimental. Suitable crystals for the diffraction studies of (1) and (2) were obtained by slow evaporation from aqueous solutions which contained equivalent molar amounts of succinic acid and the
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[^1]:    * Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and H -atom parameters have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 53918 (22 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CHI 2HU, England.

